REVIEW



Modern aspects of the management of pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: a narrative review

EFSTATHIOS T. PAVLIDIS^{1,2)}, KONSTANTINOS G. SAPALIDIS^{1,3)}, THEODOROS E. PAVLIDIS^{1,2)}

Abstract

Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMNs) account for approximately 35% of all cystic tumors in the pancreas and represent the largest subgroup. They are characterized by mucin production and intraductal papillary epithelium growth. IPMNs range from benign to malignant lesions. Biomarkers combined with ¹⁸F-Fluorodeoxyglucose–positron emission tomography (¹⁸FDG–PET) is the best diagnostic tool. The risk of malignant transformation for main-duct IPMNs is between 34–68% and for low-risk branch-duct (BD)-IPMNs it is 1.1%. Monitoring is crucial for determining the optimal time of surgical excision. Novel artificial intelligence combining clinical, tumor biomarkers, imaging and molecular genomics plays a determinant role in the evaluation of such lesions. The first diagnostic tool is multidetector helical computed tomography (MDHCT) or up-to-date magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). MRI detects malignancy by enhancing mural nodules ≥3 mm. Novel endosonographic interventional techniques have been added to the diagnostic armamentarium. Pancreatoscopy is feasible and effective but challenging for evaluating the diagnosis, invasiveness, and extent of IPMNs. Its findings may change the surgical approach. Pancreatic juice and duodenal fluid have been used recently for molecular biological analysis. The genes most frequently altered include Kirsten rat sarcoma viral protooncogene (KRAS), tumor protein p53 (TP53), cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A), SMAD family member 4 (SMAD4), and guanine nucleotide-binding protein, alpha stimulating (GNAS). Despite the advances in diagnostic modalities, assessment of this premalignant lesion of pancreatic cancer, with its poor prognosis, is a challenging task. Pancreatectomy is the indicated approach for malignant or high-risk IPMNs with potent malignancy. Conservative management or enucleation for preserving the pancreas of low-risk BD-IPMNs is recommended, but long-term follow-up for recurrence is necessary. The management of IPMNs must be individualized based on preoperative high-risk stigmata and worrisome features.

Keywords: pancreatic cystic neoplasms, IPMN, pancreatic cancer, pancreatic cysts, mucinous neoplasms.

☐ Introduction

IPMNs represent approximately 1% of all pancreatic neoplasms and 25–35% of all pancreatic neoplastic cystic lesions and comprise the largest subgroup [1–3]. This entity was first described by Ohashi, in 1982, as a tumor distinct from mucinous cystic neoplasms (MCNs) and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) [3]. Their specific characteristics are the intraductal production of mucin and the growth of the papillary epithelium [2]. They cause recurrent episodes of acute pancreatitis and eventually lead to pancreatic dysfunction. However, IPMN may also be asymptomatic. Their biological behavior ranges from benign to malignant. These lesions may coexist in patients with other cancers, i.e., urogenital cancer, colorectal cancer (CRC) or in the first-degree relatives of people with CRC [1]. The increased risk of CRC and advanced polyps in patients with IPMN mandates their relevant follow-up and management when such a diagnosis is made [4].

Despite advances in diagnosis and treatment, PDAC is one of the most lethal malignant diseases, with an overall 5-year survival not exceeding 10%. Among all gastrointestinal (GI) malignancies, it is the deadliest. Its incidence is increasing

worldwide, and in most cases (80%), it is inoperable at the time of diagnosis. IPMNs are regarded as precursor lesions of invasive pancreatic carcinoma [5].

Hereditary pancreatic cancer accounts for approximately 10% of all pancreatic cancers. The early diagnosis of precancerous pancreatic cysts is currently attracting great interest in efforts to improve the outcomes of pancreatic cancer management. IPMNs, which have a high incidence among members of families with hereditary pancreatic cancer, are considered precancerous lesions. The detection of gene mutations is of great importance in identifying cases with an increased risk for carcinogenesis [6]. The oncological outcomes of high-risk patients who undergo surgery are comparable to those of the general population [7]. For high-risk cases, pancreatic cancer screening by three annual magnetic resonance imaging (MRI)-magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatographies (MRCPs), even in community-based efforts, has been recommended [8] since high-risk patients could benefit from such frequent surveillance [9].

There are three types of lesions with different biological behaviors, *i.e.*, main-duct (MD)-IPMNs, branch-duct (BD)-IPMNs or mixed IPMNs [2, 10].

¹⁾ School of Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

²⁾ 2nd Propedeutic Department of Surgery, Hippokration Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

³⁾ 3rd Department of Surgery, AHEPA University Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

As independent predictive factors for malignancy in MD-type IPMNs, the presence of symptoms, main pancreatic duct equal to or greater than 10 mm in diameter, thickened wall, mural nodule, and distal parenchymal atrophy have been identified. In the case of a main pancreatic duct 5–9 mm in diameter accompanied by the presence of at least one other predictive factor, the risk of malignancy is 35%, and immediate surgical intervention is necessary [11]. In MD-type IPMNs, two other independent determinants predicting high-grade dysplasia or invasive carcinoma were revealed by multivariate analysis. They include the height of the mural nodule (equal to or more than 5 mm) and the carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) level (equal to or more than 50 ng/mL) in the pancreatic juice and indicate an immediate need for surgical intervention [12].

The natural progression of BD-IPMNs in patients with a genetic predisposition to pancreatic cancer [a germline mutation in breast cancer 1 (*BRCA1*) or breast cancer 2 (*BRCA2*) genes] has been recently evaluated [13]. Among the patients with these mutations, the predominance of BD-IPMN was 13.6% (mean cyst size 7.7 mm), and that of pancreatic cancer was 3.1%. Approximately similar results were obtained in a long-term follow-up (mean 5.3 years) to detect the development of new cases. Patients with alterations in the *BRCA2* gene compared to the *BRCA1* gene had an almost four times greater susceptibility to pancreatic cancer development, but there was no difference between these genes in the prevalence of BD-IPMN.

The detection of BD-IPMNs by modern imaging appears to have an increasing incidence. It is important to identify those at the lowest risk of progression to malignancy to avoid subjecting them to unnecessary surveillance or treatment [14]. There has been an evolution in diagnostic modalities, including imaging tools, laboratory tests, molecular markers, pancreatoscopy, pathology and artificial intelligence (AI). multidetector helical computed tomography (MDHCT) is the preferred method along with up-to-date MRI for the initial evaluation of patients in whom a pancreatic lesion is suspected. Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) is the second diagnostic tool [11, 14]. IPMNs as all pancreatic cystic lesions can be incidental findings on computed tomography (CT) performed for other reasons unrelated to pancreas, such as CT colonography [15].

Surgery is the cornerstone of treatment. The median survival outcome after surgery is significantly worse in invasive IPMNs than in MCNs. Adjuvant chemotherapy in addition to surgical resection may further improve survival and is considered necessary in advanced cases [16].

The ABO blood group may influence the risk of malignancy development in IPMN. In resected IPMNs, the prevalence of IPMN was found higher in patients with non-O blood group than in the general population and these patients had a significantly higher likelihood of finding invasive carcinoma. [17].

Aim

In this narrative review, we highlight the current data on genomic profiling, diagnosis, and treatment of IPMNs, providing comprehensive, complete, and modern knowledge to manage them.

☐ Genomic profiling – molecular alterations

The most common molecular alterations in pancreatic cancer involve mutations in the Kirsten rat sarcoma viral proto-oncogene (KRAS), tumor protein p53 (TP53), cyclindependent kinase inhibitor 2A (CDKN2A), and SMAD family member 4 (SMAD4) genes. Pancreatic cancer related to IPMN, according to the international guidelines, may either be derived from IPMN transformation or be concomitant with IPMN in different locations in the pancreas. However, there have been no significant differences noted between pancreatic cancer alone and pancreatic cancer concomitant with IPMN in genetic alterations, the immune and fibrotic status of the tumor microenvironment and its prognosis. Additionally, the overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) were not different between these groups of patients, and the coexistence of IPMNs does not worsen the prognosis of pancreatic cancer [18]. Determination of the molecular pathways involved in pancreatic cancer and IPMN is of great importance for an early diagnosis and further improvements of clinical outcomes [5].

Pancreatic cancer may be derived from several premalignant lesions, including intraductal tubulopapillary neoplasm (ITPN) [19]. Molecular analysis of precursors of pancreatic cancer with gastric and intestinal phenotypes, such as the trefoil factor 3 (*TFF3*) gene, mucin 2 (*MUC2*) gene and mucin like 3 (*MUCL3*) gene, showed their molecular heterogeneity, which is possibly related to their different cell identities and etiologies [20].

The molecular mechanisms involved in the IPMN development and its subsequent progression to pancreatic cancer are mostly unknown. The switch/sucrose nonfermentable (SWI/SNF)-related, matrix-associated, actin-dependent regulator of chromatin, subfamily A, member 4 (SMARCA4) gene contributes to the formation of Brahmarelated gene 1 (BRG1) protein and of SWI/SNF protein complexes. A possible mechanism has recently been reported. The loss of BRG1 protein cooperates with the KRAS gene to form IPMN and then it progresses to pancreatic cancer. A better understanding of these mechanisms may lead to new diagnostic and therapeutic guidelines [21].

There are three categories of molecular alterations in tumors of the pancreas: (i) constitutive activation of the KRAS gene, which normally exists in an inactive form, was found in over 90% of cases; (ii) the absence of functional suppressor genes, i.e., TP53, p16, CDKN2A and SMAD4; this causes uncontrolled proliferation and dissemination of cancer cells by promoting apoptosis (programmed cell death); and (iii) inactivation of human MutL homolog 1 (hMLH1) and MutS homolog 2 (MSH2) genes that repair deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) damage. Specifically, for IPMNs, the KRAS gene (90%) initiates its development, and the guanine nucleotide-binding protein, alpha stimulating (GNAS) gene (40–60%) may influence the characteristic phenotype of IPMN. In the vast majority (90%) of IPMNs, there are mutations in at least one of the KRAS genes or the GNAS gene, and in 40%, there are mutations in both genes. Other involved genes include ring finger protein 43 (RNF43) (25%), TP53 (10%), SMAD4 (rare) and BRCA1/ BRCA2 (14%) [3, 22]. Alterations of these genes have been identified in pancreatic juice, blood, or surgical resection specimens [3].

Mutations in oncogenic *GNAS* drive the pancreatic tumorigenesis and are commonly found in IPMNs. This GNAS pathway induces mucin production not only *via* the *MUC2* gene but also *via* the mucin 5AC (*MUC5AC*)/mucin 5B (*MUC5B*) gene. However, most importantly, the conflict of mutations in the *GNAS* gene with the KRAS signaling pathway can limit tumor aggressiveness by blocking the neurogenic locus notch homolog (NOTCH) signaling. Thus, it can moderate the aggressiveness of the tumor and ameliorate the outcomes [23].

Mutations in the *GNAS* gene are related to decreased perivascular invasion, perineural invasion and lymph node involvement and ultimately to increased OS. The coexistence of mutations in both *KRAS* and *GNAS* was mainly present in BD-IPMNs [24]. This may explain their more benign course.

IPMN organoids harboring *GNAS*, *RNF43* and KLF transcription factor 4 (*KLF4*) mutations have been grown. The motor neuron and pancreas homeobox 1 (MNX1)—hepatocyte nuclear factor-1-beta (HNF1B) axis is necessary for IPMN lineages [25].

A meta-analysis identified 270 upregulated and 161 downregulated genes that were characteristically altered in high-risk IPMNs. There were key changes in gene expression between low-risk and high-risk IPMNs. However, 12 genes were altered significantly, and the so-called "12-gene signature" has been proposed as a potential biomarker in the pancreatic juice for the identification of IPMNs that have a high risk for malignant development [26].

The key signaling pathways or protein complexes involved in the pathogenesis of IPMNs include G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR), transforming growth factor (TGF), SWI/SNF, WNT and phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) [27].

A polymorphic variant in telomere maintenance is related to the appearance of worrisome features (WF) and high-risk stigmata (HRS). Telomere length measured in lymphocytes predicts the risk of IPMN progression to pancreatic carcinoma. It is genetically determined by single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) using 11 variants alone or combined [acylphosphatase 2 (ACYP2)-rs11125529, PX serine/threonine kinase (PXK)-rs6772228, telomerase ribonucleic acid (RNA) component (TERC)-rs10936599, nuclear assembly factor 1 ribonucleoprotein (NAFI)rs7675998, telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT)rs2736100, oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide-binding fold containing 1 (OBFC1)-rs9420907, CST telomere replication complex component 1 (CTC1)-rs3027234, zinc finger protein 208 (ZNF208)-rs8105767, zinc finger protein 676 (ZNF676)-rs412658, DEAH-box helicase 35 (DHX35)rs6028466, zinc finger and BTB domain containing 46 (ZBTB46)-rs755017] that affect telomere length (teloscore). This teloscore showed no association with progression to pancreatic cancer, except the PXK-rs6772228-A variant that had an increased risk of such progression [28].

→ Diagnosis

The newest edition (the 5th in 2019) of the World Health

Organization (WHO) Guidelines classified the intraductal precursors of adenocarcinoma as IPMNs and included the previously considered variants of IPMNs, *i.e.*, intraductal oncocytic papillary neoplasms (IOPNs) and ITPNs, as distinct neoplasms [10, 29]. The dysplasia of these lesions may be either of low grade or high grade, and they eventually progress to invasive carcinoma. IPMN have the potential to progress towards malignancy, but the majority will not progress to invasive carcinoma. The incidence of concomitant pancreatic cancer in patients with IPMNs has been reported to be between 2.5% and 9.2%. It is less aggressive with longer survival than that unrelated to IPMNs. However, the concomitant cancer incidence is higher in IOPNs (30%) and ITPNs (70%) [10].

Modern imaging techniques (EUS, contrast-enhanced US, MRCP, MDHCT) may differentiate IPMNs from other pancreatic cystic lesions and be used to assess the main pancreatic duct dilatation and its characteristics for potential malignancy [30–32].

The Fukuoka International Consensus Modified Guidelines (2017) recommended the "high-risk stigmata" and "worrisome features" as indications for the immediate surgical resection of IPMN. The HRS include a dilated main pancreatic duct (≥10 mm), obstructive jaundice and an enhanced solid component. The WF on imaging include a cyst size equal to or larger than 3 cm, thickened and enhanced cyst walls, abrupt dilatation of the main pancreatic duct (5–9 mm in diameter), distal atrophy of the pancreas, non-enhancing mural nodules and lymph node involvement [10, 33, 34]. The presence of HRS is associated with a strong possibility for malignant transformation. Likewise, a similar risk exists for ≥3 WF [35].

In a study including 131 patients who were operated on for IPMN, invasive carcinoma was finally confirmed in 22% of cases. Univariate analysis identified an enhancing mural nodule ≥5 mm, obstructive jaundice, abrupt pancreatic duct dilatation, distal pancreatic atrophy, and lymphadenopathy as significant predictive factors for invasive carcinoma. However, the multivariate analysis limited these independent factors to three, enhancing mural nodule ≥13 mm, obstructive jaundice, and an abrupt dilatation of the pancreatic duct [36].

Apart from cyst size (\geq 15 mm), body mass index (BMI) \geq 26.4 kg/m² and heavy smoking were found to be independent risk factors for BD-IPMN [33].

Mural nodules, the main pancreatic duct more than 5 mm in diameter and elevated carbohydrate antigen 19-9, also called cancer antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), serum levels are related to malignancy [37].

Calcification in malignant IPMNs was significantly higher than that in benign IPMNs. This, together with age more than 55 years and atrophy of the pancreatic parenchyma, are considered independent predictive factors for malignancy in patients with a pancreatic mass [38].

It has been found that diabetes mellitus (DM) lasting less than four years (new in onset) in patients with pancreatic cystic neoplasm including IPMN was a risk factor for malignant progression, insulin resistance, weight loss, and SMAD4 mutation. The latter might explain the link between new-onset DM and pancreatic cystic neoplasm malignancy. SMAD4 protein induces negative regulation of the TGF- β signal pathway. It promotes malignant transformation, invasion, and metastatic capacity [39].

MRI–MRCP and MDHCT of a dual-phase pancreatic protocol may precisely assess the cyst size, morphology, and the pancreatic ductal system and identify high-grade dysplasia and invasive carcinoma with a diagnostic accuracy of 75–86% [2, 10, 33, 40]. Multiphase CT radiomics could further improve the diagnosis of malignant IPMNs [41]. MRI is important for detecting malignancy of IPMNs by enhancing mural nodules equal to or greater than 5 mm [42] or detecting pancreatic atrophy [43]. They are the first-choice diagnostic imaging modalities for further evaluation of any suspected lesion of the pancreas followed by EUS. Novel diagnostic tools, including cyst fluid analysis, laser endomicroscopy and AI, can assist in the recognition of patients at the highest risk for malignancy [44].

EUS is the most accurate method for detecting mural nodules in the pancreatic parenchyma and cystic component [2, 45, 46]. For the former, contrast harmonic enhanced EUS is superior to conventional EUS and can assess the blood flow of mural nodules, discerning them from mucin plugs [44]. AI may be used as a unique tool to augment the performance of EUS and improve its diagnostic ability [47–49].

EUS-guided fine-needle aspiration (FNA) provides samples for cytological, biomarker or molecular examination [10, 44, 50–52]. The accuracy of pancreatic EUS-guided fine-needle biopsy (FNB) is high, up to 85% [53–55], as its molecular analysis [56].

The assessment of IPMN malignancy by positron emission tomography (PET) using ⁶⁸Gallium-labeled fibroblast activation protein inhibitor (⁶⁸Ga–FAPI)–PET may be a helpful new diagnostic modality [57]. Additionally, MRI assessment of cyst fluid together with other signs may predict the malignant transformation of IPMNs [58], assisted by three dimensional (3D)–MRCP [59] or 3T MRI [60]. It has been postulated that ¹⁸F-Fluorodeoxyglucose (¹⁸FDG)–PET is the best imaging tool for malignant cystic lesions [61]. A screening system using forward viewing radial EUS and MRI/MRCP for screening individuals with a family history of pancreatic cancer has been proposed [62].

On endoscopy, the papilla appearance, such as a wide open "fish mouth" extruding mucus, is the pathognomonic finding of MD-IPMNs. Endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography (ERCP) and pancreatoscopy can be used to collect pancreatic juice for assessment [10]. Pancreatoscopy provides direct vision of the pancreatic duct and samples for biopsy by microforceps, which improves the diagnosis [44, 63, 64].

Needle-based confocal laser endomicroscopy (nCLE) is an endoscopic modality that provides high-resolution images of the GI tract mucosa [33, 44].

A novel use of natural language processing software to reveal worrisome lesions of the pancreas for potent malignancy on CT performed for other unrelated reasons has been proposed [65]. Additionally, a model of the GaWRDenMap framework is based on the concepts of geographically weighted regression and a density function-based classification model. It was applied to multiplex immunofluorescence images of pancreatic diseases. This application can discriminate between pancreatic cancer and IPMN [66].

CA19-9 is the most widely used serum biomarker for detecting pancreatic cancer with high diagnostic efficacy (sensitivity 72%, specificity 86%) that is not affected by DM. The most commonly used cutoff is 37 U/mL, and values above 100 U/mL indicate a very high risk [67]. However, it may be found moderately raised in benign conditions with inflammation. Therefore, the use of serum CA19-9 as a single diagnostic indicator or as a screening indicator in general populations is not recommended. It may be useful in combination with other diagnostic tools in discriminating benign IPMNs from those with malignancy [2].

A retrospective cohort study assessed five serum tumor markers (CA19-9, CEA, CA125, CA72-4, and CA242) for identifying advanced MCNs and distinguishing IPMNs and MCNs. CA19-9 has a moderate accuracy, while CEA, CA125, and CA72-4 have a low accuracy. Perhaps a combination of marker testing can improve the outcomes [68].

Among 249 full-length recombinant human protein microarrays, 14 autoreactive proteins (autoantibodies) were identified as potential biomarkers for low-grade or high-grade IPMNs [69].

Protein analysis of pancreatic juice or cystic fluid included CEA, monoclonal antibody (mAb) Das-1 and amylase. CEA is the most studied biomarker. The cutoff value is 192 ng/mL. Values above 200 ng/mL indicate a strong possibility for mucin-producing neoplastic lesions [10, 44]. mAb Das-1 is a monoclonal antibody that detects high-risk IPMNs, with a sensitivity of 89% and specificity of 100% [10]. High amylase levels indicate communication with the main pancreatic duct, suggesting a pseudocyst or IPMN [10]. In addition, several other cyst fluid protein analysis markers [soluble Fas ligand (sFasL), CA72-4, matrix metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9), and interleukin (IL)-4] have been proposed [70].

The apolipoprotein A2-isoforms (apoA2-i) blood test is a promising biomarker to identify individuals at high risk for pancreatic cancer that may be in a curative stage [71].

Some microRNAs (miR-31-5p, miR-483-5p, miR-99a-5p, and miR-375) have been correlated with the malignant progression of IPMNs and may contribute to the discrimination of malignant from benign neoplasms [72].

In IPMNs with high-grade dysplasia, a relative depletion of T-cells with enriched macrophages is present compared to low-grade dysplasia [73, 74].

Glycogen synthase kinase-3beta (GSK-3 β) and KRAS^{G12D} promote the retention of pancreatic ductal progenitor cells and are new lineage biomarkers related to IPMN and pancreatic cancer [75].

In current clinical practice, none of the known biomarkers allow for the selection of candidates for surgery, observation, or neither [76]. The serum biomarker fucosylated α_1 -acid glycoprotein (fAGP) indicates malignant potential and, in combination with ¹⁸FDG–PET/CT, is a valuable diagnostic tool [77].

Pancreatic juice and duodenal fluid obtained by endoscopic methods have been used recently for molecular

biological analysis [78, 79]. A recent meta-analysis including 32 studies and 939 cases of DNA analysis, found that mutations in *KRAS*, *TP53*, *CDKN2A*, *GNAS* and *SMAD4* have a high specificity (approaching 100%) for high-grade dysplasia or pancreatic carcinoma [80]. However, a previous meta-analysis including 44 studies and 2088 IPMN patients, provided evidence that, although *KRAS* and *GNAS* mutations are helpful in the preoperative diagnosis of IPMN, are not specific for high-grade or invasive IPMN [81].

Circulating cytokines, including tumor necrosis factoralpha (TNF- α), IL-2R, IL-6 and IL-8, may predict malignant IPMNs. A novel nomogram including these cytokines and two HRS features (the presence of an intraductal solid component and main pancreatic duct dilation \geq 10 mm) has been developed [82].

In the case of IPMNs, pancreatic parenchyma is often accompanied by fatty infiltration. Decreasing CT pancreatic density (pancreatic index, PI) may be an optimal imaging biomarker for earlier detection of malignancy [83].

Inflammatory markers (neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio [84], advanced lung cancer inflammation index) are associated with the invasiveness of IPMNs, and a new nomogram has been developed [85]. The platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio [84] and the C-reactive protein to albumin ratio may be predictive markers of IPMN malignant transformation [86]. Elevated serum ferritin levels are related to malignant IPMNs [87]. Additionally, immunohistochemical detection of the expression of insulinlike growth factor II messenger RNA (mRNA) binding protein 3 (IMP3) in pancreatic juice is promising [88].

The gut microbiome may play a role in pancreatic cancer initiation and progression, and thus, the role of the pancreatic microbiota in the cystic liquid can be assessed for the detection of IPMN malignancy [89].

In tissue sample biopsy, the growth of neural structures within tumors is now considered a vital factor for carcinogenesis [90].

→ Management

The balance between the risk of malignancy and any risks of resection controls the decision on the management policy [91–94]. However, it must be individualized, considering several preoperative factors [95–97]. Nomograms have been proposed for the estimation of operative risk during decision-making [98]. They are based on preoperative factors [indicator for surgery, *American Society of Anesthesiologists* (ASA) score, BMI, white blood cell (WBC) count, alkaline phosphatase]. In addition, early postoperative factors (intraoperative blood transfusion, operation time, maximum WBC count on days 1 to 3, maximum serum amylase on days 1 to 3) may help predict the outcome [98].

The vast majority of MD-IPMNs and mixed IPMNs are amenable to surgical intervention. Their low coincidence with invasive pancreatic cancer usually allows for a more conservative approach to BD-IPMNs. In any case, strict postoperative follow-up is recommended even with negative resection margins because of a high risk for recurrence and possible metachronous lesions [99]. Therefore, the management of MD-IPMNs has been adequately clarified,

while that of BD-IPMNs is still under debate. For BD-IPMNs, the presence of WF is considered a low risk for malignancy, but the presence of HRS increasing the likelihood for malignancy indicates a need for immediate resection [100]. In MD-IPMNs, despite the agreement thus far for pancreatectomy, some recent data recommend imaging surveillance in cases with a main pancreatic duct diameter between 5 mm and 9 mm, without symptoms or HRS. It has been postulated that this mild dilation alone may lead to potent misdiagnosis and subsequent overtreatment [2].

A recent study including 214 cases of BD-IPMNs found a 54.2% rate of high-grade dysplasia or invasive pancreatic carcinoma, which occurred in parallel with an increased diameter of the pancreatic duct. It was 29.8% for 5–10 mm diameters, 59% for 10–14 mm diameters, 78.6% for 15–19 mm diameters and 95.8% for ≥20 mm diameters. Likewise, the extent of dilation was found to have a similar correlation to *in situ* or invasive carcinoma. Upon follow-up lasting, on average, 50 months after partial pancreatectomy, 7.2% of patients without initial malignancy developed *in situ* or invasive carcinoma in the pancreatic remnant. This was not associated with the abovementioned pancreatic duct characteristics but only with the presence of mural nodules on preoperative imaging [101].

Pancreatectomy with standard lymphadenectomy has been indicated in confirmed or suspected invasive cancer [2]. It can be safely performed even in selected older patients with low morbidity and mortality [102]. The type and extent of excision depend on the IPMN's location. Adequate surgical resection from an oncological point of view and annual follow-up should be ensured [30].

As already known for a long time, main pancreatic duct dilatation equal to or greater than 10 mm, an enhanced mural nodule equal to or greater than 5 mm, obstructive jaundice and a positive cytological test are risk factors for malignant IPMNs. Among them, the most important is the mural nodule, and surgical resection is indicated in its presence [103]. The location of the lesion determines the type of pancreatectomy (proximal pancreaticoduodenectomy, distal or total pancreatectomy) by an open or laparoscopic approach. However, intraoperative frozen sections are highly reliable for margin evaluation and should always be performed after proximal or distal pancreatectomy to ensure an appropriate resection extent [103, 104].

Episodes of recurrent acute pancreatitis may by themselves be an indication for pancreatectomy for IPMNs. The rate of malignancy is similar between those with acute pancreatitis and those without it [105].

High-risk patients with MD or mixed IPMNs unfit for surgery had a 36% greater risk of developing pancreatic malignancy within a median of 2.5 years [106].

Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors might slow the progression of BD-IPMNs. The matter is subject to further prospective research studies [107].

The fact that IPMNs may be multifocal (two or more pancreatic lesions) creates management dilemmas. However, it has been found that no differences exist in survival and grade of dysplasia between patients with unifocal and multifocal IPMNs. Likewise, neither cysts in the remnant pancreas nor total pancreatectomy influence survival [108]. Undoubtedly, the latter is better from an oncological point of view in malignant cases, but the related morbidity and altered quality of life do not justify its choice for IPMNs based only on high-risk factors and no proven malignancy.

In malignant cases, recurrence after pancreatectomy may be local in the remnant pancreas or in distant extrapancreatic tissue. Repeat pancreatectomy is recommended for recurrence in the remnant pancreas. Because of it, optimal surveillance for recurrence is essential for the timing of the reoperation [109].

A recent multicenter retrospective study of 837 patients with BD-IPMN and long-term active surveillance found that nonoperative management is safe and effective in cases without WF or HRS. Only 1.1% revealed invasive cancer. Factors related to WF/HRS included localized IPMN, diameter of the main pancreatic duct between 3 mm and 5 mm and a cyst size equal to or greater than 20 mm. The interesting new finding of this study was the diameter of the pancreatic duct [110]. Current guidelines consider the presence of symptoms such as recurrent acute pancreatitis and the recent appearance of DM and/or obstructive jaundice, WF/HRS [10, 33].

Conservative management or enucleation (preferably laparoscopic) for preserving the pancreas in cases of low-risk BD-IPMNs is recommended, but long-term follow-up for recurrence is necessary [111–113]. In addition, the selection of cases for enucleation should be careful, and the operation must be performed by experienced surgeons. The complications and recurrence rates are similar to those after more extended excisions [113].

The role of adjuvant therapy for IPMN-associated pancreatic carcinoma remains unclear. Adjuvant chemotherapy did not improve survival. The survival was similar after pancreatectomy alone or with the addition of chemotherapy [114, 115]. Current data indicate that it may have a place only in advanced stage tumors equal to or beyond stage 2 and with affected lymph nodes [116].

EUS-guided fine-needle injection (FNI) is a minimally invasive emerging palliative therapeutic option for delivering specific chemotherapeutic or other antitumor agents in inoperable cases [44].

Given that the maintenance of enhanced immunity including cytotoxic and memory T-cells, and antigen-experienced T-cells and B-cells, has led to promising novel research findings. These open new horizons for the emerging use of immunotherapy (vaccines and other immunomodulatory factors) to interrupt the transformation of IPMNs to pancreatic carcinoma [117].

₽ Prognosis

The overall 5-year survival has been reported to range from 36% to 77% [2].

The prevailing model of recurrence after intended curative resection for cancer derived from IPMN is systemic. This process starts before local recurrence. It has been postulated that grade 3 (poor differentiation) malignant lesions accompanied by nodal involvement will exhibit

systemic recurrence, while when they have R1 resection (infiltrated excision margin), local recurrence will occur [118].

There have been different oncological outcomes reported regarding DFS and recurrence of invasive IPMNs (five years and 33%, respectively) and PDAC (two years and 68%, respectively)). Likewise, the most common site of IPMN recurrence was the lungs (38.5%), followed by the liver (28.6) and the locoregional region (15.4%), while for pancreatic cancer, it was the liver (45%), followed by the locoregional region (36.6%) and the lungs (13.1%). These better outcomes of invasive IPMNs were attributed to less neuroinvasion and nodal involvement compared to pancreatic cancer [119].

Invasive IPMNs have a less aggressive recurrence pattern than pancreatic cancer and reflect a more favorable OS [120].

A recent study found a 5-year survival rate of 34% after therapeutic excision of invasive IPMNs. Prognostic nomograms have been proposed based on seven factors, *i.e.*, age, marital status, histological grade, tumor (T) stage, lymph node (N) stage, metastasis (M) stage, and chemotherapy [121].

A lower incidence of advanced neoplasia has been found during extended surveillance among low-risk BD-IPMNs after five years of size stability [122].

Patients with IPMN-associated carcinoma who underwent proximal pancreateduodenectomy (60%), distal pancreatectomy (20%), or total pancreatectomy (20%) had a median OS of 98.6 months if R0 resection was achieved (free resection margin ≥1 mm), 39.3 months with R1 resection (free resection margin <1 mm), and 22 months with R2 resection (direct margin involvement). Lowgrade IPMN at the resection margin had no prognostic value [123].

A study involving 377 patients with BD-IPMNs who had a median follow-up of 5.4 years found that no patients with normal CA19-9 levels developed cancer or high-grade dysplasia. Additionally, those with stable, not growing cysts of less than 15 mm and without WF or HRS may not need to undergo imaging surveillance [124].

It is well known that in pancreatic cancer, the involvement of para-aortic lymph nodes is related to a dismal prognosis. However, in invasive IPMNs, a multivariable analysis found that positivity and lymph node ratio were not independent prognostic factors for death; the independent prognostic factors were age above 70 years and CA19-9 levels above >200 U/mL [125].

A study including 2264 cases with invasive IPMNs assessed the impacts of different metastatic patterns on the prognosis. Liver, lung, multiple other organ metastases and age above 60 years were found to be independent predictive factors of a poor prognosis. Isolated liver metastasis was associated with worse survival than lung or other organ metastases. As expected, patients with multiple metastases had the worst survival, while those without metastases had the best survival [126].

Another study found several independent predictive factors (age above 70 years, tumor location in the peripheral pancreas, high grade differentiation, surgery, chemotherapy and TNM stage) [127].

A multivariable analysis in a study including 424 patients with IPMN-associated pancreatic carcinoma found several independent predictive factors for shorter survival (age above 70 years, high CA19-9 levels, DM, IPMN-pancreatic cancer subtype, grade 3 tumors with advanced TNM stage) [114].

☐ Conclusions

The modern management of pancreatic IPMNs has adequately clarified several aspects. The most common BD type is associated with a lower likelihood of malignancy than the MD or mixed type and requires a more conservative approach. Common molecular alterations in invasive IPMNs have been recognized, which opens up new diagnostic horizons. Novel CT and MRI techniques constitute the initial diagnostic step, followed by ERCP, EUS and perhaps pancreatoscopy. CEA, CA19-9, and some new biomarkers may contribute to identifying the risk of malignancy. FNB may confirm the preoperative diagnosis. There are WF, and HRS determine the therapeutic approach by providing an assessment of the risk of malignancy. Pancreatectomy is the cornerstone of treatment for malignant lesions or lesions at high risk for potential malignancy. However, the therapeutic strategy must be individualized to avoid overtreatment. In low-risk BD-IPMNs, conservative management or enucleation of the lesion is recommended. In any case, long-term follow-up is necessary because of the possibility of recurrence.

Conflict of interests

There is no conflict of interests associated with any of the senior author or other coauthors contributed their efforts in this manuscript.

References

- [1] Bülow R, Tjaden C, Ittermann T, Hinz U, Klaiber U, Weiss FU, Aghdassi A, Heckler M, Kromrey ML, Völzke H, Hosten N, Büchler MW, Lerch MM, Hackert T. Epidemiological factors associated with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: a dual center case-control study. Pancreas, 2022, 51(3):250–255. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPA.0000000 000002007 PMID: 35584382
- [2] Jabłońska B, Szmigiel P, Mrowiec S. Pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: current diagnosis and management. World J Gastrointest Oncol, 2021, 13(12):1880–1895. https://doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v13.i12.1880 PMID: 35070031 PMCID: PMC8713311
- [3] Paini M, Crippa S, Partelli S, Scopelliti F, Tamburrino D, Baldoni A, Falconi M. Molecular pathology of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. World J Gastroenterol, 2014, 20(29):10008–10023. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20. i29.10008 PMID: 25110429 PMCID: PMC4123331
- [4] Zelnik Yovel D, Bear L, Scapa E, Shnell M, Bar Yishay I, Bar N, Zlv Baran T, Younis F, Phillips A, Lubezky N, Shibolet O, Ben-Ami Shor D. Increased prevalence of colorectal neoplasia in patients with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms. Therap Adv Gastroenterol, 2022, 15:17562848221104306. https://doi. org/10.1177/17562848221104306 PMID: 35747617 PMCID: PMC9210092
- [5] Matsumoto I. Invited Editorial: Comprehensive analysis of molecular biological characteristics of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma concomitant with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Ann Surg Oncol, 2022, 29(8):4683–4685. https:// doi.org/10.1245/s10434-022-11713-y PMID: 35419756
- [6] Ardeshna DR, Rangwani S, Cao T, Pawlik TM, Stanich PP, Krishna SG. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms in hereditary cancer syndromes. Biomedicines, 2022, 10(7):1475.

- https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines10071475 PMID: 35884779 PMCID: PMC9313108
- [7] Bagias G, Kanavidis P, Vailas M, Despotidis M, Sotiropoulou M, Katsaros I, Maroulis I, Filippou D, Schizas D. Surgical management of familial pancreatic cancer: a systematic review of the literature. ANZ J Surg, 2022, 92(11):2816–2821. https:// doi.org/10.1111/ans.17834 PMID: 35758214
- [9] Wang Y, Cuggia A, Chen YI, Parent J, Stanek A, Denroche RE, Zhang A, Grant RC, Domecq C, Golesworthy B, Shwaartz C, Borgida A, Holter S, Wilson JM, Chong G, O'Kane GM, Knox JJ, Fischer SE, Gallinger S, Gao ZH, Foulkes WD, Waschke KA, Zogopoulos G. Is biannual surveillance for pancreatic cancer sufficient in individuals with genetic syndromes or familial pancreatic cancer? J Natl Compr Canc Netw, 2022, 20(6): 663–673.e12. https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2021.7107 PMID: 35714671
- [10] Assarzadegan N, Babaniamansour S, Shi J. Updates in the diagnosis of intraductal neoplasms of the pancreas. Front Physiol, 2022, 13:856803. Erratum in: Front Physiol, 2022, 13:923917. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.856803 PMID: 35309060 PMCID: PMC8931033
- [11] Jung HS, Han Y, Kang JS, Sohn H, Lee M, Lee KB, Kim H, Kwon W, Jang JY. Prediction of malignancy in main duct or mixed-type intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci, 2022, 29(9):1014–1024. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhbp.1161 PMID: 35451206
- [12] Fujita Y, Hirono S, Kawai M, Okada KI, Miyazawa M, Kitahata Y, Ueno M, Hayami S, Kobayashi R, Yanagisawa A, Yamaue H. Malignant potential and specific characteristics of pure main duct type intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Eur J Surg Oncol, 2022, 48(5):1054–1061. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso. 2021.11.137 PMID: 34933794
- [13] Shah I, Silva-Santisteban A, Germansky KA, Wadhwa V, Tung N, Huang DC, Kandasamy C, Mlabasati J, Bilal M, Sawhney MS. Incidence and prevalence of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms in individuals with *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* pathogenic variant. J Clin Gastroenterol, 2022 Feb 8. https://doi.org/10. 1097/MCG.0000000000001683 PMID: 35220378
- [14] Overbeek KA, van Leeuwen N, Tacelli M, Anwar MS, Yousaf MN, Chhoda A, Arcidiacono PG, Gonda TA, Wallace MB, Capurso G, Farrell JJ, Cahen DL, Bruno MJ. International external validation of a stratification tool to identify branch-duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms at lowest risk of progression. United Eur Gastroenterol J, 2022, 10(2):169–178. https://doi.org/10.1002/ueg2.12207 PMID: 35199484 PMCID: PMC8911544
- [15] Mallappa S, Pencavel T, Poo S, Gall T, Cunningham D, Tekkis P, Jiao LR. Pancreatic incidentalomas on CT colonography: ignore, follow up or investigate? Chirurgia (Bucharest), 2022, 117(3): 278–285. https://doi.org/10.21614/chirurgia.2723 PMID: 35792538
- [16] Yang Z, Shi G. Comparison of clinicopathologic characteristics and survival outcomes between invasive IPMN and invasive MCN: a population-based analysis. Front Oncol, 2022, 12:899761. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2022.899761 PMID: 35965523 PMCID: PMC9372276
- [17] Zelga P, Hernández-Barco YG, Qadan M, Ferrone CR, Baba T, Bolm L, Jah A, Warshaw AL, Lillemoe KD, Balakrishnan A, Fernández-Del Castillo C. ABO blood group distribution and risk of malignancy in patients undergoing resection for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN). Pancreatology, 2022, 22(2):264–269. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2021.12.012 PMID: 35000863
- [18] Tsujimae M, Masuda A, Ikegawa T, Tanaka T, Inoue J, Toyama H, Sofue K, Uemura H, Kohashi S, Inomata N, Nagao K, Masuda S, Abe S, Gonda M, Yamakawa K, Ashina S, Yamada Y, Tanaka S, Nakano R, Sakai A, Kobayashi T, Shiomi H, Kanzawa M, Itoh T, Fukumoto T, Ueda Y, Kodama Y. Comprehensive analysis of molecular biologic characteristics of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma concomitant with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Ann Surg Oncol, 2022, 29(8): 4924–4934. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-022-11704-z PMID: 35606470

- [19] Fukunaga Y, Fukuda A, Omatsu M, Namikawa M, Sono M, Masuda T, Araki O, Nagao M, Yoshikawa T, Ogawa S, Hiramatsu Y, Muta Y, Tsuda M, Maruno T, Nakanishi Y, Ferrer J, Tsuruyama T, Masui T, Hatano E, Seno H. Loss of *Arid1a* and *Pten* in pancreatic ductal cells induces intraductal tubulopapillary neoplasm *via* the YAP/TAZ pathway. Gastroenterology, 2022, 163(2):466–480.e6. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2022.04.020 PMID: 35483445
- [20] Liffers ST, Godfrey L, Frohn L, Haeberle L, Yavas A, Vesce R, Goering W, Opitz FV, Stoecklein N, Knoefel WT, Schlitter AM, Klöppel G, Espinet E, Trumpp A, Siveke JT, Esposito I. Molecular heterogeneity and commonalities in pancreatic cancer precursors with gastric and intestinal phenotype. Gut, 2022 Aug 9:gutjnl-2021-326550. https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2021-326550 PMID: 35944927
- [21] Fukuda A. Molecular mechanism of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm-derived pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci, 2015, 22(7):519–523. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhbp.246 PMID: 25900667
- [22] Visani M, Acquaviva G, De Leo A, Sanza V, Merlo L, Maloberti T, Brandes AA, Franceschi E, Di Battista M, Masetti M, Jovine E, Fiorino S, Pession A, Tallini G, de Biase D. Molecular alterations in pancreatic tumors. World J Gastroenterol, 2021, 27(21): 2710–2726. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v27.i21.2710 PMID: 34135550 PMCID: PMC8173386
- [23] Kawabata H, Ono Y, Tamamura N, Oyama K, Ueda J, Sato H, Takahashi K, Taniue K, Okada T, Fujibayashi S, Hayashi A, Goto T, Enomoto K, Konishi H, Fujiya M, Miyakawa K, Tanino M, Nishikawa Y, Koga D, Watanabe T, Maeda C, Karasaki H, Liss AS, Mizukami Y, Okumura T. Mutant GNAS limits tumor aggressiveness in established pancreatic cancer via antagonizing the KRAS-pathway. J Gastroenterol, 2022, 57(3):208–220. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00535-021-01846-4 PMID: 35018527
- [24] Asano G, Miyabe K, Kato H, Yoshida M, Sawada T, Okamoto Y, Sahashi H, Atsuta N, Kachi K, Kato A, Jinno N, Natsume M, Hori Y, Naitoh I, Hayashi K, Matsuo Y, Takahashi S, Suzuki H, Kataoka H. Relevance of gene mutations and methylation to the growth of pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms based on pyrosequencing. Sci Rep, 2022, 12(1):419. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-04335-z PMID: 35013462 PMCID: PMC8748617
- [25] Kato H, Tateishi K, Fujiwara H, Nakatsuka T, Yamamoto K, Kudo Y, Hayakawa Y, Nakagawa H, Tanaka Y, Ijichi H, Otsuka M, Iwadate D, Oyama H, Kanai S, Noguchi K, Suzuki T, Sato T, Hakuta R, Ishigaki K, Saito K, Saito T, Takahara N, Kishikawa T, Hamada T, Takahashi R, Miyabayashi K, Mizuno S, Kogure H, Nakai Y, Hirata Y, Toyoda A, Ichikawa K, Qu W, Morishita S, Arita J, Tanaka M, Ushiku T, Hasegawa K, Fujishiro M, Koike K. MNX1-HNF1B axis is indispensable for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm lineages. Gastroenterology, 2022, 162(4): 1272–1287.e16. https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2021.12.254 PMID: 34953915
- [26] Saha B, Chhatriya B, Pramanick S, Goswami S. Bioinformatic analysis and integration of transcriptome and proteome results identify key coding and noncoding genes predicting malignancy in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. Biomed Res Int, 2021, 2021:1056622. https://doi.org/10.1155/ 2021/1056622 PMID: 34790815 PMCID: PMC8592698
- [27] Li J, Wei T, Zhang J, Liang T. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas: a review of their genetic characteristics and mouse models. Cancers (Basel), 2021, 13(21): 5296. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13215296 PMID: 34771461 PMCID: PMC8582516
- [28] Giaccherini M, Gentiluomo M, Arcidiacono PG, Falconi M, Testoni SGG, Apadula L, Lauri G, Di Franco G, Fatucchi LM, Petrone MC, Corradi C, Crippa S, Morelli L, Capurso G, Campa D. A polymorphic variant in telomere maintenance is associated with worrisome features and high-risk stigmata development in IPMNs. Carcinogenesis, 2022, 43(8):728–735. https://doi.org/10.1093/carcin/bgac051 PMID: 35675759
- [29] Paolino G, Esposito I, Hong SM, Basturk O, Mattiolo P, Kaneko T, Veronese N, Scarpa A, Adsay V, Luchini C. Intraductal tubulopapillary neoplasm (ITPN) of the pancreas: a distinct entity among pancreatic tumors. Histopathology, 2022, 81(3):297–309. https://doi.org/10.1111/his.14698 PMID: 35583805 PMCID: PMC9544156

- [30] Brunner M, Häberle L, Esposito I, Grützmann R. Zystische Pankreasraumforderungen – Diagnostik, Therapie und Nachsorge: Aktuelle Empfehlungen unter Berücksichtigung der aktuellen S3-Leitlinie zum Pankreaskarzinom [Pancreatic cystic space-occupying lesions – diagnostics, treatment and followup care: current recommendations taking the current German S3 guidelines on pancreatic cancer into account]. Chirurg, 2022, 93(5):461–475. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00104-022-01616-9 PMID: 35316346
- [31] Faccioli N, Santi E, Foti G, D'Onofrio M. Cost-effectiveness analysis of including contrast-enhanced ultrasound in management of pancreatic cystic neoplasms. Radiol Med, 2022, 127(4):349–359. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11547-022-01459-8 PMID: 35230618 PMCID: PMC8989810
- [32] Kierans AS, Gavlin A, Wehrli N, Flisnik LM, Eliades S, Pittman ME. Utility of gadolinium for identifying the malignant potential of pancreatic cystic lesions. Abdom Radiol (NY), 2022, 47(4): 1351–1359. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00261-022-03446-z PMID: 35195765
- [33] Puşcaşu CI, Rimbaş M, Mateescu RB, Larghi A, Cauni V. Advances in the diagnosis of pancreatic cystic lesions. Diagnostics (Basel), 2022, 12(8):1779. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12081779 PMID: 35892490 PMCID: PMC9394320
- [34] Zhao W, Liu S, Cong L, Zhao Y. Imaging features for predicting high-grade dysplasia or malignancy in branch duct type intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ann Surg Oncol, 2022, 29(2):1297– 1312. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-021-10662-2 PMID: 34554343
- [35] Zelga P, Hernandez-Barco YG, Qadan M, Ferrone CR, Kambadakone A, Horick N, Jah A, Warshaw AL, Lillemoe KD, Balakrishnan A, Fernández-Del Castillo C. Number of worrisome features and risk of malignancy in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. J Am Coll Surg, 2022, 234(6):1021–1030. https:// doi.org/10.1097/XCS.000000000000176 PMID: 35703792
- [36] Kazami Y, Arita J, Nishioka Y, Kawaguchi Y, Ichida A, Ishizawa T, Akamatsu N, Kaneko J, Nakai Y, Koike K, Hasegawa K. Preoperative predictive features of invasive carcinoma among intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas. Pancreas, 2022, 51(6):642–648. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPA.00000000000002078 PMID: 35835103
- [37] Pozzi Mucelli RM, Moro CF, Del Chiaro M, Valente R, Blomqvist L, Papanikolaou N, Löhr JM, Kartalis N. Branchduct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN): are cyst volumetry and other novel imaging features able to improve malignancy prediction compared to well-established resection criteria? Eur Radiol, 2022, 32(8):5144–5155. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s00330-022-08650-5 PMID: 35275259 PMCID: PMC 9279268
- [38] Wang W, Chai L, Zhu N, Wang Q, Zhou Y, Chai W. Clinical significance of pancreatic calcifications: a 15-year single-center observational study. Eur J Med Res, 2022, 27(1):99. https:// doi.org/10.1186/s40001-022-00725-9 PMID: 35752857 PMCID: PMC9233388
- [39] Deng J, Guo Y, Gu J, Du J, Kong L, Tao B, Li J, Fu D. The role of diabetes mellitus in the malignant pancreatic cyst neoplasm diagnosis and prognosis. Cancer Manag Res, 2022, 14:2091– 2104. https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S355365 PMID: 35769228 PMCID: PMC9234315
- [40] Sotozono H, Kanki A, Yasokawa K, Yamamoto A, Sanai H, Moriya K, Tamada T. Value of 3-T MR imaging in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm with a concomitant invasive carcinoma. Eur Radiol, 2022, 32(12):8276–8284. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00330-022-08881-6 PMID: 35665843
- [41] Polk SL, Choi JW, McGettigan MJ, Rose T, Ahmed A, Kim J, Jiang K, Balagurunathan Y, Qi J, Farah PT, Rathi A, Permuth JB, Jeong D. Multiphase computed tomography radiomics of pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms to predict malignancy. World J Gastroenterol, 2020, 26(24):3458–3471. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v26.i24.3458 PMID: 32655269 PMCID: PMC7327792
- [42] Hong SB, Lee NK, Kim S, Seo HI, Park YM, Noh BG, Kim DU, Han SY, Kim TU. Diagnostic performance of magnetic resonance image for malignant intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: the importance of size of enhancing mural nodule within cyst. Jpn J Radiol, 2022 Jul 4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11604-022-01312-y PMID: 35781178

- [43] Lin T, Chen X, Liu J, Cao Y, Cui W, Wang Z, Wang C, Chen X. MRI-based pancreatic atrophy is associated with malignancy or invasive carcinoma in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Front Oncol, 2022, 12:894023. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc. 2022.894023 PMID: 35719938 PMCID: PMC9204001
- [44] Shipley LC, Ahmed AM. New and emerging technology in the diagnosis and treatment of pancreatic cysts. Transl Gastroenterol Hepatol, 2022, 7:15. https://doi.org/10.21037/tgh-2020-09 PMID: 35548473 PMCID: PMC9081918
- [45] Schedel J, Kaess M, Schorr W, Brookman-Amissah D, Alqahtan S, Pech O. Cystic pancreatic neoplasms in a tertiary gastroenterologic referral center: evaluation of the diagnostic accuracy of endoscopic ultrasound, progression rate and malignancy rate in a large unicentric cohort. Z Gastroenterol, 2022 Jul 25. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1852-5644 PMID: 35878606
- [46] Eiterman A, Lahooti A, Krishna SG. Endosonographic diagnosis of advanced neoplasia in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms. World J Gastroenterol, 2020, 26(23):3201–3212. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v26.i23.3201 PMID: 32684735 PMCID: PMC7336327
- [47] Rangwani S, Ardeshna DR, Rodgers B, Melnychuk J, Turner R, Culp S, Chao WL, Krishna SG. Application of artificial intelligence in the management of pancreatic cystic lesions. Biomimetics (Basel), 2022, 7(2):79. https://doi.org/10.3390/biomimetics70 20079 PMID: 35735595 PMCID: PMC9221027
- [48] Chidambaram S, Kawka M, Gall TM, Cunningham D, Jiao LR. Can we predict the progression of premalignant pancreatic cystic tumors to ductal adenocarcinoma? Future Oncol, 2022, 18(23):2605–2612. https://doi.org/10.2217/fon-2021-1545 PMID: 35730473
- [49] Goyal H, Sherazi SAA, Gupta S, Perisetti A, Achebe I, Ali A, Tharian B, Thosani N, Sharma NR. Application of artificial intelligence in diagnosis of pancreatic malignancies by endoscopic ultrasound: a systemic review. Therap Adv Gastroenterol, 2022, 15:17562848221093873. https://doi.org/10.1177/1756 2848221093873 PMID: 35509425 PMCID: PMC9058356
- [50] Ohno E, Ishikawa T, Mizutani Y, Iida T, Uetsuki K, Yashika J, Yamada K, Gibo N, Aoki T, Kawashima H. Factors associated with misdiagnosis of preoperative endoscopic ultrasound in patients with pancreatic cystic neoplasms undergoing surgical resection. J Med Ultrason (2001), 2022, 49(3):433–441. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10396-022-01205-7 PMID: 35411413
- [51] Takeda Y, Matsumoto K, Onoyama T, Yamashita T, Koda H, Hamamoto W, Sakamoto Y, Shimosaka T, Kawahara S, Horie Y, Isomoto H. Efficacy and safety of pancreatic juice cytology with synthetic secretin in diagnosing malignant intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. Diagnostics (Basel), 2022, 12(3):744. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics 12030744 PMID: 35328297 PMCID: PMC8947485
- [52] Rahal MA, DeWitt JM, Patel H, Schmidt CM, Ceppa EP, Simpson RE, Sherman S, Al-Haddad M. Serial EUS-guided FNA for the surveillance of pancreatic cysts: a study of longterm performance of tumor markers. Dig Dis Sci, 2022, 67(11): 5248–5255. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10620-022-07427-6 PMID: 35229208
- [53] Thomsen MM, Larsen MH, Di Caterino T, Hedegaard Jensen G, Mortensen MB, Detlefsen S. Accuracy and clinical outcomes of pancreatic EUS-guided fine-needle biopsy in a consecutive series of 852 specimens. Endosc Ultrasound, 2022, 11(4):306– 318. https://doi.org/10.4103/EUS-D-21-00180 PMID: 35708361
- [54] Vilas-Boas F, Ribeiro T, Costa-Moreira P, Barroca H, Lopes J, Martins D, Moutinho-Ribeiro P, Macedo G. Endoscopic ultrasound through-the-needle biopsy of pancreatic cysts: towards procedure standardization. Dig Dis, 2022 Aug 15. https://doi. org/10.1159/000526332 PMID: 35970144
- [55] Facciorusso A, Kovacevic B, Yang D, Vilas-Boas F, Martínez-Moreno B, Stigliano S, Rizzatti G, Sacco M, Arevalo-Mora M, Villarreal-Sanchez L, Conti Bellocchi MC, Bernardoni L, Gabbrielli A, Barresi L, Gkolfakis P, Robles-Medranda C, De Angelis C, Larghi A, Di Matteo FM, Aparicio JR, Macedo G, Draganov PV, Vilmann P, Pecchia L, Repici A, Crinò SF. Predictors of adverse events after endoscopic ultrasound-guided through-the-needle biopsy of pancreatic cysts: a recursive partitioning analysis. Endoscopy, 2022, 54(12):1158–1168. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1831-5385 PMID: 35451041
- [56] Rift CV, Melchior LC, Kovacevic B, Klausen P, Toxværd A, Grossjohann H, Karstensen JG, Brink L, Hassan H, Kalaitzakis E, Storkholm J, Scheie D, Hansen CP, Lund EL, Vilmann P,

- Hasselby JP. Targeted next-generation sequencing of EUS-guided through-the-needle-biopsy sampling from pancreatic cystic lesions. Gastrointest Endosc, 2022 Aug 12:S0016-5107(22)01881-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2022.08.008 PMID: 35964683
- [57] Lang M, Spektor AM, Hielscher T, Hoppner J, Glatting FM, Bicu F, Hackert T, Heger U, Pausch T, Gutjahr E, Rathke H, Giesel FL, Kratochwil C, Tjaden C, Haberkorn UA, Röhrich M. Static and dynamic ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI PET/CT for the detection of malignant transformation of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasia of the pancreas. J Nucl Med, 2022 Jul 29:jnumed. 122.264361. https://doi.org/10.2967/jnumed.122.264361 PMID: 35906094
- [58] Takao S, Nishie A, Ushijima Y, Takayama Y, Morita K, Ishimatsu K, Koga Y, Mori Y, Akamine Y, Ishigami K. MR prediction of malignant switch with the cyst fluid's T2 value in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: a preliminary study. Anticancer Res, 2022, 42(8):3895–3903. https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.15883 PMID: 35896240
- [59] Matsuyama T, Ohno Y, Yamamoto K, Ikedo M, Yui M, Furuta M, Fujisawa R, Hanamatsu S, Nagata H, Ueda T, Ikeda H, Takeda S, Iwase A, Fukuba T, Akamatsu H, Hanaoka R, Kato R, Murayama K, Toyama H. Comparison of utility of deep learning reconstruction on 3D MRCPs obtained with three different k-space data acquisitions in patients with IPMN. Eur Radiol, 2022, 32(10):6658–6667. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-022-08877-2 PMID: 35687136
- [60] Boraschi P, Scalise P, Casotti MT, Kauffmann EF, Boggi U, Donati F. Cystic lesions of the pancreas: is apparent diffusion coefficient value useful at 3 T magnetic resonance imaging? J Comput Assist Tomogr, 2022, 46(3):363–370. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/RCT.000000000001302 PMID: 35405726
- [61] Lee SW, Shim SR, Jeong SY, Kim SJ. Comparison of preoperative imaging modalities for the assessment of malignant potential of pancreatic cystic lesions: a network meta-analysis. Clin Nucl Med, 2022, 47(10):849–855. https://doi.org/10.1097/ RLU.0000000000004323 PMID: 35713890
- [62] Ashida R, Ioka T, Takada R, Fukutake N, Ikezawa K, Ohkawa K, Nagata S, Takahashi H. New screening system using forwardviewing radial endoscopic ultrasound and magnetic resonance imaging for high-risk individuals with familial history of pancreatic cancer. Front Med (Lausanne), 2022, 9:928182. https://doi. org/10.3389/fmed.2022.928182 PMID: 35836949 PMCID: PMC9273720
- [63] de Jong DM, Stassen PMC, Groot Koerkamp B, Ellrichmann M, Karagyozov PI, Anderloni A, Kylänpää L, Webster GJM, van Driel LMJW, Bruno MJ, de Jonge PJF; European Cholangioscopy Study Group. The role of pancreatoscopy in the diagnostic work-up of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Endoscopy, 2022 Jul 20. https:// doi.org/10.1055/a-1869-0180 PMID: 35668651
- [64] Vehviläinen S, Fagerström N, Valente R, Seppänen H, Udd M, Lindström O, Mustonen H, Swahn F, Arnelo U, Kylänpää L. Single-operator peroral pancreatoscopy in the preoperative diagnostics of suspected main duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: efficacy and novel insights on complications. Surg Endosc, 2022, 36(10):7431–7443. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00 464-022-09156-3 PMID: 35277769 PMCID: PMC9485081
- [65] Kooragayala K, Crudeli C, Kalola A, Bhat V, Lou J, Sensenig R, Atabek U, Echeverria K, Hong Y. Utilization of natural language processing software to identify worrisome pancreatic lesions. Ann Surg Oncol, 2022, 29(13):8513–8519. https://doi.org/10. 1245/s10434-022-12391-6 PMID: 35969302
- [66] Krishnan SN, Mohammed S, Frankel TL, Rao A. GaWRDen Map: a quantitative framework to study the local variation in cell-cell interactions in pancreatic disease subtypes. Sci Rep, 2022, 12(1):3708. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06602-z PMID: 35260589 PMCID: PMC8904504
- [67] Zhao B, Zhao B, Chen F. Diagnostic value of serum carbohydrate antigen 19-9 in pancreatic cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol, 2022, 34(9):891– 904. https://doi.org/10.1097/MEG.000000000002415 PMID: 35913776
- [68] Sun LQ, Peng LS, Guo JF, Jiang F, Cui F, Huang HJ, Jin ZD. Validation of serum tumor biomarkers in predicting advanced cystic mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas. World J Gastroenterol, 2021, 27(6):501–512. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v27. i6.501 PMID: 33642824 PMCID: PMC7896439

- [69] Brindl N, Boekhoff H, Bauer AS, Gaida MM, Dang HT, Kaiser J, Hoheisel JD, Felix K. Use of autoreactive antibodies in blood of patients with pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms (IPMN) for grade distinction and detection of malignancy. Cancers (Basel), 2022, 14(15):3562. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/cancers14153562 PMID: 35892825 PMCID: PMC 9332220
- [70] McIntyre CA, Rodrigues C, Santharaman AV, Goldman DA, Javed AA, Ciprani D, Pang N, Lokshin A, Gonen M, Al Efishat MA, He J, Burkhart R, Burns W 3rd, Weiss M, D'Angelica MI, Kingham TP, Balachandran VP, Drebin JA, Jarnagin WR, Lillemoe KD, Brugge W, Casey B, Lennon AM, Schattner M, Wolfgang CL, Del Castillo CF, Allen PJ. Multiinstitutional validation study of cyst fluid protein biomarkers in patients with cystic lesions of the pancreas. Ann Surg, 2022, 276(2):e129– e132. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000005314 PMID: 34793354 PMCID: PMC9114163
- [71] Honda K. Risk stratification of pancreatic cancer by a blood test for apolipoprotein A2-isoforms. Cancer Biomark, 2022, 33(4):503–512. https://doi.org/10.3233/CBM-210198 PMID: 35491769 PMCID: PMC9108558
- [72] Prinz C, Fehring L, Frese R. MicroRNAs as indicators of malignancy in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) and cystic pancreatic lesions. Cells, 2022, 11(15):2374. https:// doi.org/10.3390/cells11152374 PMID: 35954223 PMCID: PMC9368175
- [73] Eckhoff AM, Fletcher AA, Landa K, Iyer M, Nussbaum DP, Shi C, Nair SK, Allen PJ. Multidimensional immunophenotyping of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms reveals novel T cell and macrophage signature. Ann Surg Oncol, 2022, 29(12):7781–7788. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-022-12157-0 PMID: 35831529
- [74] Catrina AM, Popa MA, Văcaru AM, Fenyo IM. Inflammatory status of the pancreas in NOD mice that do not develop overt diabetes. Rom J Morphol Embryol, 2021, 62(1):109–115. https:// doi.org/10.47162/RJME.62.1.10 PMID: 34609413 PMCID: PMC8597392
- [75] Ding L, Roeck K, Zhang C, Zidek B, Rodman E, Hernandez-Barco Y, Zhang JS, Bamlet W, Oberg A, Zhang L, Bardeesy N, Li H, Billadeau D. Nuclear GSK-3β and oncogenic KRas lead to the retention of pancreatic ductal progenitor cells phenotypically similar to those seen in IPMN. Front Cell Dev Biol, 2022, 10:853003. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.853003 PMID: 35646902 PMCID: PMC9136019
- [76] Marchegiani G, Perri G, Salvia R. The quantum physics of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas. BJS Open, 2022, 6(3):zrac082. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsopen/ zrac082 PMID: 35713028 PMCID: PMC9204467
- [77] Kubo N, Yazawa S, Yokobori T, Sano R, Eguchi H, Kobayashi S, Akita H, Mitsufuji S, Yamashita YI, Nakao Y, Fujii T, Okumura T, Shibuya K, Hoshino Y, Yamada S, Hayashi M, Shimokawa M, Shirabe K. The malignant potential of pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm is reflected in expression levels of fucosylated glycans in α₁-acid glycoprotein. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci, 2022 Jul 1. https://doi.org/10.1002/jhbp.1208 PMID: 35776060
- [78] Takano S, Fukasawa M, Enomoto N. Molecular assessment of endoscopically collected pancreatic juice and duodenal fluid from patients with pancreatic diseases. Dig Endosc, 2022 Jun 4. https://doi.org/10.1111/den.14371 PMID: 35665966
- [79] Nista EC, Schepis T, Candelli M, Giuli L, Pignataro G, Franceschi F, Gasbarrini A, Ojetti V. Humoral predictors of malignancy in IPMN: a review of the literature. Int J Mol Sci, 2021, 22(23):12839. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222312839 PMID: 34884643 PMCID: PMC8657857
- [80] Visser IJ, Levink IJM, Peppelenbosch MP, Fuhler GM, Bruno MJ, Cahen DL. Systematic review and meta-analysis: diagnostic performance of DNA alterations in pancreatic juice for the detection of pancreatic cancer. Pancreatology, 2022, 22(7): 973–986. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2022.06.260 PMID: 35864067
- [81] Lee JH, Kim Y, Choi JW, Kim YS. KRAS, GNAS, and RNF43 mutations in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: a meta-analysis. SpringerPlus, 2016, 5(1):1172. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2847-4 PMID: 27512631 PMCID: PMC4960083

- [82] Pu N, Chen Q, Zhang J, Yin H, Wang D, Ji Y, Rao S, Kuang T, Xu X, Wu W, Lou W. Circulating cytokines allow for identification of malignant intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. Cancer Med, 2022 Jul 24. https://doi.org/10. 1002/cam4.5051 PMID: 35871313
- [83] Yamada D, Kobayashi S, Takahashi H, Yoshioka T, Iwagami Y, Tomimaru Y, Shigekawa M, Akita H, Noda T, Asaoka T, Gotoh K, Tanemura M, Doki Y, Eguchi H. Pancreatic CT density is an optimal imaging biomarker for earlier detection of malignancy in the pancreas with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Pancreatology, 2022, 22(4):488–496. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.pan.2022.03.016 PMID: 35396159
- [84] Wagner D, Haybaeck J, Wienerroither V, Bajric T, Tomberger A, Schemmer P, Mischinger HJ, Kornprat P. Platelet to lymphocyte ratio correlates with carcinoma progression in pancreatic intra epithelial neoplasia. Anticancer Res, 2022, 42(3):1413–1419. https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.15611 PMID: 35220234
- [85] Yoon SJ, Kim H, Lee O, Jung JH, Lim CS, Shin YC, Kwon W, Jang JY, Shin SH, Heo JS, Han IW. Development and external validation of a nomogram with inflammatory markers for predicting invasiveness of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of pancreas. Medicine (Baltimore), 2022, 101(11): e29036. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000029036 PMID: 35356913
- [86] Maruyama H, Tanoue K, Ishikawa-Kakiya Y, Yamamura M, Higashimori A, Ominami M, Nadatani Y, Fukunaga S, Otani K, Hosomi S, Tanaka F, Kamata N, Nagami Y, Taira K, Ohira G, Kimura K, Amano R, Fujiwara Y. Clinical implication of preoperative C-reactive protein/albumin ratio in malignant transformation of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm: a propensity score analysis. Diagnostics (Basel), 2022, 12(2):554. https:// doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12020554 PMID: 35204642 PMCID: PMC8871207
- [87] Zhuge X, Zhou H, Chen L, Chen H, Chen X, Guo C. The association between serum ferritin levels and malignant intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms. BMC Cancer, 2021, 21(1):1253. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-08986-z PMID: 34800987 PMCID: PMC8606075
- [88] Rashed HE, Nasr AN, Wasfi NT, ElHendawy R, Said NM. A promising diagnostic role of immunohistochemical expression of insulin-like growth factor II mRNA binding protein 3 (IMP3) in pancreatic lesions using endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration (EUS–FNA) cytology. J Gastrointest Cancer, 2022 Jan 14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12029-021-00770-3 PMID: 35028828
- [89] Halimi A, Gabarrini G, Sobkowiak MJ, Ateeb Z, Davanian H, Gaiser RA, Arnelo U, Valente R, Wong AYW, Moro CF, Del Chiaro M, Özenci V, Chen MS. Isolation of pancreatic microbiota from cystic precursors of pancreatic cancer with intracellular growth and DNA damaging properties. Gut Microbes, 2021, 13(1):1983101. https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2021.1983101 PMID: 34816784 PMCID: PMC8632270
- [90] Trinh VQH, Roland JT, Wong J, Revetta F, Patel K, Shi C, DelGiorno KE, Carter BD, Tan MCB. Peak density of immature nerve cells occurs with high-grade dysplasia in intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. J Pathol, 2022, 258(1):69–82. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.5978 PMID: 35686747 PMCID: PMC9378585
- [91] Aleotti F, Crippa S, Belfiori G, Tamburrino D, Partelli S, Longo E, Palumbo D, Pecorelli N, Lena MS, Capurso G, Arcidiacono PG, Falconi M. Pancreatic resections for benign intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: collateral damages from friendly fire. Surgery, 2022, 172(4):1202–1209. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.surg.2022.04.036 PMID: 35667898
- [92] Li Z, Li M, Hu W, Lu H. Comment on "Surgery for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas: preoperative factors tipping the scale of decision-making". Ann Surg Oncol, 2022, 29(7):4651–4652. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-022-11774-z PMID: 35412209 PMCID: PMC9174129
- [93] Perri G, Marchegiani G, Salvia R. Response to the comment on: "Surgery for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas: preoperative factors tipping the scale of decisionmaking". Ann Surg Oncol, 2022 Apr 12. https://doi.org/10. 1245/s10434-022-11782-z PMID: 35412202
- [94] Aizpuru M, Starlinger P, Nagorney DM, Smoot RL, Truty MJ, Kendrick ML, Cleary SP. Contemporary outcomes of pancreatico-

- duodenectomy for benign and precancerous cystic lesions. HPB (Oxford), 2022, 24(9):1416–1424. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpb. 2022.01.007 PMID: 35140056
- [95] Khalaf N, Abrams HR, Eke C, Kanwal F, El-Serag HB. Why do small intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms create such a huge management challenge?: how international classification of diseases codes have failed us. Pancreas, 2022, 51(2):e13– e15. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPA.000000000001989 PMID: 35404900
- [96] Goh BKP. International guidelines for the management of pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms. World J Gastroenterol, 2015, 21(34):9833–9837. https://doi.org/10.3748/ wjg.v21.i34.9833 PMID: 26379390 PMCID: PMC4566378
- [97] Xu B, Ding WX, Jin DY, Wang DS, Lou WH. Decision making for pancreatic resection in patients with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms. World J Gastroenterol, 2013, 19(9):1451– 1457. https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v19.i9.1451 PMID: 23539521 PMCID: PMC3602505
- [98] Hipp J, Rist L, Chikhladze S, Ruess DA, Fichtner-Feigl S, Wittel UA. Perioperative risk of pancreatic head resectionnomogram-based prediction of severe postoperative complications as a decisional aid for clinical practice. Langenbecks Arch Surg, 2022, 407(5):1935–1947. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00423-021-02426-z PMID: 35320379 PMCID: PMC9399026
- [99] Konaktchieva M, Penchev D, Popivanov G, Vladova L, Cirocchi R, Penkov M, Karagyozov P, Mutafchiyski V. Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm of the pancreas: need for a tailored approach to a rare entity. Folia Med (Plovdiv), 2021, 63(6):970–976. https://doi.org/10.3897/folmed.63.e63071 PMID: 35851243
- [100] Marchegiani G, Crippa S, Perri G, Rancoita PMV, Caravati A, Belfiori G, Dall'Olio T, Aleotti F, Partelli S, Bassi C, Falconi M, Salvia R. Surgery for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas: preoperative factors tipping the scale of decision-making. Ann Surg Oncol, 2022, 29(5):3206–3214. https://doi.org/10.1245/s10434-022-11326-5 PMID: 35072863 PMCID: PMC8989932
- [101] Ecker BL, Dickinson SM, Saadat LV, Tao AJ, Puliverenti A, Balachandran VP, D'Angelica MI, Drebin JA, Kingham TP, Jarnagin WR, Wei AC, Gonen M, Soares KC. Segmental vs. diffuse main duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm: examination of main pancreatic duct morphology and implications for malignancy risk and extent of surgical resection. Ann Surg, 2022 Aug 11. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.00000 00000005672 PMID: 35950775
- [102] Poruk KE, Shahrokni A, Brennan MF. Surgical resection for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm in the older population. Eur J Surg Oncol, 2022, 48(6):1293–1299. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ejso.2021.12.001 PMID: 34887167
- [103] Salvia R, Burelli A, Perri G, Marchegiani G. State-of-the-art surgical treatment of IPMNs. Langenbecks Arch Surg, 2021, 406(8):2633–2642. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00423-021-02349-9 PMID: 34738168 PMCID: PMC8803623
- [104] Chi Z, Dhall D, Mertens R. The use of intraoperative frozen sections in guiding the extent of pancreatic resections for intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: a single institution experience and review of the literature. Pancreas, 2022, 51(1):63–74. https://doi.org/10.1097/MPA.0000000000001963 PMID: 35195597
- [105] Muniraj T, Aslanian HR, Laine L, Jamidar PA, Farrell JF, Mitchell KA, Salem RR. Resection of pancreatic cystic neoplasms in recurrent acute pancreatitis prevents recurrent pancreatitis but does not identify more malignancies. World J Gastroenterol, 2021, 27(15):1630–1642. https://doi.org/10. 3748/wjg.v27.i15.1630 PMID: 33958848 PMCID: PMC8058652
- [106] Daudé M, Muscari F, Buscail C, Carrère N, Otal P, Selves J, Buscail L, Bournet B. Outcomes of nonresected main-duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. World J Gastroenterol, 2015, 21(9):2658–2667. https://doi.org/ 10.3748/wjg.v21.i9.2658 PMID: 25759534 PMCID: PMC 4351216
- [107] Valente R, Crippa S, Arnelo U, Vanella G, Zerboni G, Zarantonello L, Fogliati A, Arcidiacono PG, Vujasinovic M, Lohr JM, Falconi M, Capurso G, Del Chiaro M. The use of ace inhibitors influences the risk of progression of BD–IPMNs under follow-up. Pancreatology, 2022, 22(4):516–524. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2022.03.020 PMID: 35431111

- [108] Hashimoto D, Satoi S, Yamamoto T, Yamaki S, Ishida M, Hirooka S, Shibata N, Boku S, Ikeura T, Sekimoto M. Longterm outcomes of patients with multifocal intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm following pancreatectomy. Pancreatology, 2022, 22(7):1046–1053. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2022. 07.00 PMID: 35871123
- [109] Fuji T, Umeda Y, Takagi K, Yoshida R, Yoshida K, Yasui K, Matsumoto K, Kato H, Yagi T, Fujiwara T. Optimal surveillance of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas focusing on remnant pancreas recurrence after surgical resection. BMC Cancer, 2022, 22(1):588. https://doi.org/10. 1186/s12885-022-09650-w PMID: 35643422 PMCID: PMC 9148522
- [110] Tamburrino D, de Pretis N, Pérez-Cuadrado-Robles E, Uribarri-Gonzalez L, Ateeb Z, Belfiori G, Maisonneuve P, Capurso G, Vanella G, Petrone MC, Arcidiacono PG, Vaalavuo Y, Frulloni L, Dominguez-Muñoz JE, Deprez PH, Falconi M, Del Chiaro M, Crippa S, Laukkarinen J. Identification of patients with branch-duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm and very low risk of cancer: multicentre study. Br J Surg, 2022, 109(7):617–622. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjs/znac103 PMID: 35511697
- [111] Kaiser J, Alhalabi KT, Hinz U, Mayer P, Tjaden C, Büchler MW, Hackert T, Loos M. Enucleation for low-grade branch duct intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: long-term follow-up. Surgery, 2022, 172(3):968–974. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.surg. 2022.04.035 PMID: 35680446
- [112] Bruballa R, Fratantoni ME, Ardiles V, Mazza O. Laparoscopic enucleation of pancreatic neoplasms: a single-center experience and outcomes. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A, 2022, 32(10):1032–1037. https://doi.org/10.1089/lap.2021. 0900 PMID: 35446126
- [113] Pergolini I, Friess H, Demir IE. Resektionsstrategien beim BD-IPMN Enukleation oder onkologische Resektion? [Resection strategies in BD-IPMN enucleation or standard resection?]. Zentralbl Chir, 2022, 147(2):155–159. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1759-4492 PMID: 35378555
- [114] Furukawa T, Takamatsu M, Inoue Y, Okamoto T, Mie T, Yamada Y, Takeda T, Kasuga A, Matsuyama M, Sasaki T, Ozaka M, Oba A, Ito H, Ono Y, Sato T, Takahashi YU, Saiura A, Sasahira N. Impact of histological features on adjuvant chemotherapy for invasive intraductal papillary mucinous carcinoma. Anticancer Res, 2022, 42(5):2645–2655. https://doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.15742 PMID: 35489761
- [115] Kaiser J, Scheifele C, Hinz U, Leonhardt CS, Hank T, Koenig AK, Tjaden C, Hackert T, Bergmann F, Büchler MW, Strobel O. IPMN-associated pancreatic cancer: survival, prognostic staging and impact of adjuvant chemotherapy. Eur J Surg Oncol, 2022, 48(6):1309–1320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso. 2021.12.009 PMID: 34920899
- [116] Hughes DLI, Hughes I, Silva MA. Determining the role of adjuvant therapy in invasive intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms; a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Surg Oncol, 2022, 48(7):1567–1575. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.ejso.2022.01.028 PMID: 35144836
- [117] Hernandez S, Parra ER, Uraoka N, Tang X, Shen Y, Qiao W, Jiang M, Zhang S, Mino B, Lu W, Pandurengan R, Haymaker C, Affolter K, Scaife CL, Yip-Schneider M, Schmidt CM, Firpo MA, Mulvihill SJ, Koay EJ, Wang H, Wistuba II, Maitra A, Solis LM, Sen S. Diminished immune surveillance during histologic progression of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms offers a therapeutic opportunity for cancer interception. Clin Cancer Res, 2022, 28(9):1938–1947. https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-2585 PMID: 35491652 PMCID: PMC9069801
- [118] Habib JR, Kinny-Köster B, Amini N, Shoucair S, Cameron JL, Thompson ED, Fishman EK, Hruban RH, Javed AA, He J, Wolfgang CL. Predictors, patterns, and timing of recurrence provide insight into the disease biology of invasive carcinomas arising in association with intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms. J Gastrointest Surg, 2022, 26(11):2311–2320. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11605-022-05428-4 PMID: 35915375
- [119] Capretti G, Nebbia M, Gavazzi F, Nappo G, Ridolfi C, Sollai M, Spaggiari P, Bozzarelli S, Carrara S, Luberto A, Zerbi A. Invasive IPMN relapse later and more often in lungs in comparison to pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Pancreatology, 2022, 22(6):782–788. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan. 2022.05.006 PMID: 35701318
- [120] Holmberg M, Linder S, Kordes M, Liljefors M, Ghorbani P, Löhr JM, Sparrelid E. Impact of spatio-temporal recurrence

- pattern on overall survival for invasive intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasia a comparison with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Pancreatology, 2022, 22(5):598–607. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2022.04.007 PMID: 35501218
- [121] Wu JY, Wang YF, Ma H, Li SS, Miao HL. Nomograms predicting long-term survival in patients with invasive intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas: a population-based study. World J Gastroenterol, 2020, 26(5):535–549. https:// doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v26.i5.535 PMID: 32089629 PMCID: PMC7015718
- [122] Chhoda A, Singh S, Sheth AH, Grimshaw AA, Gunderson CG, Sharma P, Kunstman JW, Sharma A, Ahuja N, Gonda TA, Farrell JJ. Benefit of extended surveillance of low-risk pancreatic cysts after 5-year stability: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol, 2022 May 11:S1542-3565(22)00450-5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cgh.2022.04.025 PMID: 35568304
- [123] Leonhardt CS, Hinz U, Kaiser J, Hank T, Tjaden C, Bergmann F, Hackert T, Büchler MW, Strobel O. Presence of low-grade IPMN at the pancreatic transaction margin does not have prognostic significance after resection of IPMN-associated pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Eur J Surg Oncol, 2022 Aug 9: S0748-7983(22)00591-1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejso.2022. 08.003 PMID: 35965217

- [124] Johansson K, Kaprio T, Nieminen H, Lehtimäki TE, Lantto E, Haglund C, Seppänen H. A retrospective study of intraductal papillary neoplasia of the pancreas (IPMN) under surveillance. Scand J Surg, 2022, 111(1):14574969221076792. https://doi.org/10.1177/14574969221076792 PMID: 35333109
- [125] Linder S, Holmberg M, Engstrand J, Ghorbani P, Sparrelid E. Prognostic impact of para-aortic lymph node status in resected pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and invasive intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm – time to consider a reclassification? Surg Oncol, 2022, 41:101735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sur onc.2022.101735 PMID: 35287096
- [126] Zhou C, Liu Z, Zhou Y, Ren D, Liu K, Qin G, Zhang H, Liang X, Gou S, Wu H. Prognostic analysis of different metastatic patterns in invasive intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm: a Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Database analysis. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol, 2021, 2021:4350417. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/4350417 PMID: 35047460 PMCID: PMC8763568
- [127] Zhang H, Gao C, Chen J, Wu S, Bai J, Yin T. A novel staging system and clinical predictive nomogram for more accurate staging and prognosis of malignant pancreatic intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms: a population-based study. J Transl Med, 2021, 19(1):525. https://doi.org/10.1186/s129 67-021-03188-4 PMID: 34952605 PMCID: PMC8709971

Corresponding author

Efstathios T. Pavlidis, MD, MSc, PhD, School of Medicine, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki; 2nd Propedeutic Department of Surgery, Hippokration Hospital, 49 Konstantinoupoleos Street, 54642 Thessaloniki, Greece; Phone +302310–992933, e-mail: pavlidis.md@gmail.com

Received: June 18, 2022

Accepted: December 7, 2022